1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND
3	
4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA *
6	VS. * NOVEMBER 13, 2018
7	* 3:00 P.M. JAY GACCIONE *
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * PROVIDENCE, RI
9	
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN J. McCONNELL, JR.,
11	DISTRICT JUDGE
12	(Change of Plea Hearing)
13	<u>EXCERPT</u>
14	
15	APPEARANCES:
16	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: DENISE M. BARTON, AUSA U.S. Attorney's Office
17	50 Kennedy Plaza Providence, RI 02903
18	FOR THE DEFENDANT: KEVIN J. FITZGERALD, ESQ.
19	Federal Defender's Office 10 Weybosset Street
20	Providence, RI 02903
21	Court Reporter: Karen M. Wischnowsky, RPR-RMR-CRR One Exchange Terrace
22	Providence, RI 02903
2324	
24 25	
۷)	

BEGINNING OF EXCERPT

13 NOVEMBER 2018 -- 3:00 P.M.

MS. BARTON: Your Honor, as to Counts I, II, III, V and VI, the charges of 18 U.S.C. 2251(a), sexual exploitation of a minor, the four elements of that offense are, first, that the Defendant employed, used, persuaded, induced, enticed or coerced a minor victim to engage in sexually explicit conduct; second, at the time the minor victim or the victim was under the age of 18; third, the Defendant acted with a purpose of producing a visual depiction of such conduct; and fourth, the visual depiction was produced or transmitted using materials that have been mailed, shipped or transported in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce by any means, including computer.

The term "sexually explicit conduct" includes the following actual or simulated acts: Sexual intercourse, including oral-genital, masturbation or lascivious exhibition of the genitals or pubic area of any person.

As to Counts I through III and V, which are four of the five sexual exploitation of a minor counts to which the Defendant is pleading, the United States would present evidence that the Defendant, Jay Gaccione, caused Minor Victim Number 1, who is his

daughter, to engage in sexually explicit conduct, specifically the lascivious exhibition of her genitals or pubic area, and that he photographed it on four different dates: April 16th, 2014; May 10th, 2014; July 21, 2014; and October 13th, 2015.

The evidence would also show that Minor Victim

Number 1 was between the ages of 12 and 14 years old on these dates.

Specifically, and in summary, your Honor, as to Count I, on or about April 16th, 2014, the Defendant took photographs of Minor Victim 1 in various stages of undress. One of those images depicts a close-up image of her vagina, and the other depicts a close-up image of her anus and vagina.

As to Count II, on or about May 10th, 2014, the Defendant took three sexually explicit images of Minor Victim Number 1. One image shows her pulling her pants down and showing her vaginal area, and two images show her touching and inserting her fingers in her vagina.

As to Count III, on or about July 21, 2014, the Defendant took a photograph of Minor Victim Number 1 in which she is pulling her underpants aside and exposing her vagina.

As to Count V, on or about October 13th, 2015, the Defendant took photographs of Minor Victim Number 1

again in various stages of undress. In one of those images, she is standing up, pulling her pants down and exposing her vagina.

As to Count VI, the last sexual exploitation of a minor count to which the Defendant is pleading, the United States would present evidence that on or about April 24th, 2016, when his daughter was 14 years old, the Defendant, Jay Gaccione, caused her to engage in sexually explicit conduct and photographed and videoed it.

Specifically, on that date he photographed her orally copulating him, and he videoed the lascivious exhibition of her anus and vagina; and during the filming, he directed her to masturbate.

The images and video described previously were produced on materials that had been mailed, shipped or transported in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce. Specifically, the micro SD card containing the images alleged in Counts I through III and the other micro SD card containing the images alleged in Count V -- the images and video alleged in Counts V and VI were both produced in China.

Would your Honor like me to continue with the other count?

As to Count VII, your Honor, charging

distribution of child pornography in violation of 18 U.S.C. 2252(a)(2), the first element of that offense is that the Defendant knowingly distributed a visual depiction; second, the Defendant knew the visual depiction was of or showed a minor engaging in sexually explicit conduct; and three, the visual depiction was shipped or transported using any means or facility of interstate or foreign commerce or was shipped or transported in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce or contains materials which have been so shipped, including by computer.

As to Count VII, the United States would produce evidence that on or about April 29th, 2016, the Defendant was communicating via Gmail and Sprint messaging service with an individual who was in South Africa.

On that date, that individual in South Africa told the Defendant that he had images of his three- and five-year-old children and would trade for like value; and after sending those images to the Defendant, they discussed sexual aspects of the images sent to the Defendant.

Thereafter, the Defendant told that individual that he had images of his 15-year-old daughter, who was actually 14, and he sent six images to that individual

in South Africa.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Counts XIII and IX allege violation of Title 18 United States Code 2252(a)(4)(B), possession of child The first of four elements of that pornography. offense are that the Defendant knowingly possessed any computer, computer storage medium or matter which the Defendant knew contained a visual depiction of a minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct; second, the Defendant knew the visual depiction contained in the storage medium was of or showed a minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct; third, the Defendant knew that the production of the visual depiction involved the use of a minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct; and fourth, the child pornography had been mailed, shipped or transported using any means or facility of interstate or foreign commerce or in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce, including by computer, or was produced using materials which had been so mailed or so shipped.

As to Counts XIII and IX, the United States would present evidence that the Defendant knowingly possessed visual depictions of images and a video of a person he knew to be a minor, his daughter, engaged in sexually explicit conduct, including the images described previously, and that those images were

produced using materials that had been mailed or shipped or transported in interstate or foreign commerce, specifically SD cards that had been manufactured in and shipped from China.

Count VIII involves possession from a date not later than April 16th, 2014, through November 4th, 2016, on an SD card, serial number ending XDAP.

Count IX involves possession from a date not later than October 25th, 2015, and continuing through November 4th, 2016, on an SD card with a serial number -- sorry. I apologize, your Honor.

As to Count VIII, the serial number actually ended CABE. The serial card, SD card for Count IX ends in XDAP. And that's it, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thanks, Ms. Barton.

Mr. Gaccione, you heard the elements of the eight counts that the Government has brought against you. I'd again remind you they'd have to prove each and every one of those elements beyond a reasonable doubt for you to be found guilty of any or all of those counts.

You also heard the facts the Government would prove if this case were to go to trial. Do you admit the facts as stated by the Government as true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Before I ask you about your change of plea, Mr. Gaccione, do you have any questions for the Court or do you want to confer with your attorney about any matter?

THE DEFENDANT: Just one thing.

THE COURT: Sure.

(Defendant confers with counsel)

THE DEFENDANT: I just wanted to say one thing. She said that I sent pictures of my daughter to someone in Africa or something like that. I never sent any pictures of her. It was pictures of, you know, other kids off the internet.

THE COURT: I don't think, Ms. Barton, that that change in facts would affect the elements of Count VII, which is what Mr. Gaccione's referring to. Is that correct?

MS. BARTON: Could I have a moment, your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Pause)

MS. BARTON: Your Honor, I think the charge alleges that it was sent I believe on or about April 29th. What the evidence showed, in part because of Mr. Gaccione's Mirandized interview, was that Mr. Gaccione was communicating with members of a -- I'm giving you the explanation because I think the

answer to your question is yes, I think it does matter, and this is why.

Mr. Gaccione was communicating with members of an online bulletin board, for lack of a better description, of child pornographers who would upload images and exchange images.

Mr. Gaccione admitted when he was interviewed by agents that he had uploaded some images or shared images. I don't know if it was an upload or share; but in any event, he shared images and represented to the community that these were images of his children.

And the members of the community effectively called him out and called him on his BS; and they said no, these are known images. Like, we know these aren't your kids.

So what Mr. Gaccione admitted when he was interviewed by agents on the day of his arrest was that he began taking pictures of his daughter to share with members of the bulletin board, that he took pictures of her chest and vagina, and he said that he sent them to another individual.

We have the communications going back and forth between him and this South African individual, Mr. Kahn Burn, who was picked up as part of a Homeland Security investigation in Pretoria, where we have six images

that were sent by Mr. Gaccione to Mr. Burn on that date, and it was after he had represented that he was sending images of his daughter.

So those are the images that we are charging. There were other images that Mr. Gaccione, and I believe those are the images that he's referring to, did, in fact, send to other members of the community that were random, for lack of a better word, images of child pornography not involving his child.

So as charged, we're referring to six -- was it six or three? Six images that were sent on April 29th.

THE COURT: Right, but --

MS. BARTON: I don't know the date of the other upload. It could have been April 29th. It could have been April 30th. I suppose, your Honor, the "on or about" might give some flexibility --

THE COURT: But also if Mr. Gaccione is willing to admit that on April 29th he distributed via the internet photographs of a minor that meet the elements of child pornography, I don't have them handy here, but meet those elements, for purposes of change of plea, the identity of the minor is irrelevant, isn't it?

MS. BARTON: I think it could be for this, your Honor. I do, however, just want to relay to Mr. Gaccione and to the Court that I do think this

calls into question whether or not there would be acceptance of responsibility when the guidelines are calculated because this goes directly opposite to something that Mr. Gaccione said in a recorded interview.

THE COURT: Let me hear from Mr. Fitzgerald.

MS. BARTON: So I do think that is an issue.

MR. FITZGERALD: Well, the identity of the person in the picture is not an element of the charge, your Honor. That's something we can handle. I think you can take the plea based on what he's admitted to today.

As far as whether or not the Government thinks he's accepting responsibility, that's up to the Court at sentencing. And, unfortunately, the guideline range is going to be so high that I'm not sure that makes much of a difference, but if the Government -- I certainly could talk to Ms. Barrett after today and --

THE COURT: Barton.

MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me?

THE COURT: Barton.

MR. FITZGERALD: Barton. I'm sorry. After today and perhaps clear this up.

THE COURT: I actually think that this issue can be postponed until the time of sentencing because, as I

and from what I understand Mr. Gaccione is willing to admit to, that he is willing to admit to all of the facts sufficient to meet each and every one of the elements of the charge even though he's now disputing the identity of the child in the photographs on April 29th. So I think we can leave that part for another date.

MS. BARTON: Okay.

THE COURT: So why don't you both have a seat.

Mr. Gaccione, let me ask you this if you can follow it. As you have amended the facts that
Ms. Barton stated, as you have amended the facts as she stated, do you admit to those facts as Ms. Barton stated as you've amended?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And let me ask you one more time, do you -- are there any other matters that you want to discuss with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: No. I'm okay.

THE COURT: Or have any questions for the Court?

THE DEFENDANT: All set.

THE COURT: Okay. How do you now plead to the eight counts, Counts I, II, III, V, VI, VII, VIII and IX, contained in the Indictment, guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: This Court has heard from the Government the evidence it would present if this matter were to go to trial. The Court has questioned the Defendant regarding his understanding of the nature of these proceedings and the consequences of entering a plea of guilty to the charge.

It is, therefore, the finding of this Court in the case of the United States versus Jay Gaccione that the Defendant is capable -- fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea, that the Defendant is aware of the nature of the proceedings and the consequences of the plea and that the plea of guilty is a knowing and voluntary plea supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of each of the charges; and, therefore, the plea is accepted, and the Defendant is now adjudged guilty of those offenses.

Sentencing will be set down for February 20th, 2019, at 11 a.m.

Ms. Barton, anything further for the Government?

MS. BARTON: Nothing, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Fitzgerald, anything further for Mr. Gaccione?

MR. FITZGERALD: Nothing today, your Honor.

1	Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Great. Thanks. We'll stand
3	adjourned, folks.
4	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
5	(Adjourned)
6	
7	* * * * * *
8	CERTIFICATION
9	
10	
11	I, Karen M. Wischnowsky, RPR-RMR-CRR, do
12	hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and
13	accurate transcription of my stenographic notes in the
14	above-entitled case.
15	
16	December 12, 2018
17	Date
18	
19	
20	/s/ Karen M. Wischnowsky
21	Karen M. Wischnowsky, RPR-RMR-CRR
22	Federal Official Court Reporter
23	
24	
25	